1	KEVIN M. SUTEHALL (9437) MARK J. CONNOT (10010) FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP		
2			
3	One Summerlin 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700 Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel.: (702) 262-6899 Fax: (702) 597-5503 ksutehall@foxrothschild.com mconnot@foxrothschild.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Strike 3 Holdings, LLC		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
11	STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,	Case Number: 2:18-cv-02324-JAD-PAL	
12	Plaintiff,	STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC'S	
13	vs.	EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE ON JOHN DOE DEFENDANT	
14	JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP address 68.96.116.185,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17			
18	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), Plaintiff, Strike 3 Holdings, LLC ("Plaintiff"), makes		
19	this ex-parte application for entry of an order extending the time within which to effectuate		
20	service on John Doe Defendant, and states:		
21	1. This is a copyright infringement case against a John Doe Defendant known to		
22	Plaintiff only by an IP address. Defendant's true identity is known by their Internet service		
23	provider ("ISP").		
24	2. On January 18, 2019, Plaintiff was granted leave to serve a third party subpoena		
25	on Defendant's ISP to obtain the Defendant's identifying information [CM/ECF 6]. Plaintiff		
26	issued the subpoena on or about January 28, 2019 and received the ISP's response on or about		
27	February 28, 2019.		
28		1	
		1	

- 3. Plaintiff is currently conducting a further investigation to assist in determining whether the individual identified by the ISP is the appropriate defendant for this action prior to attempting to effect service of process on that individual.
- 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 4(m), Plaintiff is required to effectuate service on the Defendant no later than March 6, 2019.
- 5. Plaintiff respectfully requests that the time within which it has to effect service of the summons and Complaint on Defendant be extended an additional fifty (50) days, and thus the deadline to effect service be extended to April 25, 2019. This extension should allow Plaintiff sufficient time to conclude its investigation, amend the complaint and effect service on the appropriate defendant.
  - 6. This application is made in good faith and not for the purpose of undue delay.
- 7. This is Plaintiff's first request for an extension. None of the parties will be prejudiced by the granting of this extension.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the time within which it has to effectuate service of the summons and Complaint on Defendant be extended until April 25, 2019. A proposed order is attached for the Court's convenience.

Dated this 5th day of March, 2019.

## FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

By: /s/ Kevin M. Sutehall
KEVIN M. SUTEHALL (9437)
MARK J. CONNOT (10010)

Attorney for Plaintiff Strike 3 Holdings, LLC

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,	Case Number: 2:18-cv-02324-JAD-PAL
11	Plaintiff,	
12	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR
13	JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP address 68.96.116.185,	EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE
14		ON JOHN DOE DEFENDANT
15		
16		
17	THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon Plaintiff's ex-parte application for entry of	
18	an Order extending the time within which to effectuate service on John Doe Defendant with a	
19	summons and Complaint, and the Court being duly advised in the premises does hereby:	
20	ORDER AND ADJUDGE: Plaintiff's application is granted. Plaintiff shall have until	
21	April 25, 2019 to effectuate service of a summons and Complaint on Defendant.	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	UNITED TATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DATED: March 11, 2019	
25		
26		
27		
28		1